# EFFECTIVENESS OF DUAL INOCULATION WITH AZOSPIRILLUM AND PHOSPHATE SOLUBILIZING MICROORGANISMS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF Zea mays L.

# ZAGHLOUL, R. A.

Agric. Botany Dept., Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Egypt Received 4 / 5 / 1999 Accepted 5 / 7 / 1999 ABSTRACT: Two field experiments were carried out during 1997 and 1998 seasons to study the effect of inoculation with Azospirillum lipoferum combined with either the vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza (VAM) Glomus mosseae or the phosphate solubilizing bacterium (PSB) B. megatherium var phosphaticum on the growth and yield of maize.

The results of this study showed that inoculation with A. lipoferum combined with VAM in the presence of full dose of rock phosphate gave the highest values of  $CO_2$  evolution and N<sub>2</sub>-ase activity in rhizosphere soil.

The percentage of mycorrhizal root infection was higher in rock-p than in super-p treatments. Densities of p-dissolving bacteria in the rhizosphere were the highest when maize grains were inoculated with PSB and provided with the full dose of super-p. Ammoniacal and nitrate nitrogen contents in the soil were the highest in VAM inoculated treatment which received full dose of rock-p. The highest values of available-p were recorded in PSB inoculated treatment which received full dose of super-p.

The highest records of growth performance, i.e ear characteristics, straw and grain yields of maize were obtained with VAM combined with A. lipoferum inoculation in the presence of the full dose of rock-p. Uninoculated plants grown with inorganic fertilizers gave lower growth performance and lower straw and grain yields compared to inoculated treatments. Dual inoculation of maize with A. lipoferum in combination with either VAM or PSB gave higher values of N, P, K and crude protein in grains rather than those inoculated with Azospirillum lipoferum alone.

Key words : Azospirillum lipoferum , Glomus mosseae , Bacillus megatherium var. phosphaticum , super-p, rock-p, maize .

#### INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, maize is one of the major field crops. Therefore, efforts are focussed to increase its productivity to full the gap between the local production and human consumption of cereals through growing high producing varieties under the most favourable cultural conditions. Nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization are two of the most important factors in increasing the maize yield.

Several investigators showed that inoculation with *Azospirilla* improved growth and yield of maize crop due to their N<sub>2</sub>-fixation activity and production of growth promoting substances (El-Demerdash, 1994; Fulchieri and Frioni, 1994; Raso, 1996 and Hamdi and El-Komy, 1998).

Moreover, Ishac and Mostafa (1993) and Medeiros *et al* (1993) found that VAM stimulated growth and nutrients uptake of various host plants. They also found that no appreciable differences were recorded between the effect of super-p and rock-p when conjugated with VAM inoculation.

Mcgonigle and Miller (1996) and Saad and Hammad (1998) reported that mycorrhizal inoculation remarkably increased plant growth, phosphorus uptake, yield and yield components of maize and wheat. This increase was accompanied by a high percentage of mycorrhizal root infection and rhizospheric N<sub>2</sub>-ase activity.

Concerning the effect of inoculation with phosphate solubilizing bacteria on plant growth, Heggo and Barakah (1993) found that maize inoculation with phosphate dissolving bacteria increased plant growth , N, P, K content as well as micro-elements content Shatokhina and Khristenko (1996) also reported that the inoculation of maize with associative N2-fixing bacteria in combination with phosphate solubilizing bacteria enhanced the biological potential of soil and increased nutrients uptake . Mixed decreased mineral inoculation fertilizer application rate by 50% for maize without any reduction in the vield .

The present study aimed to investigate the effect of dual inoculation with *A. lipoferum* and phosphate solubilizing bacteria or VA mycorrhiza in the presence of two forms of p-fertilizers on the growth and yield of *Zea mays*.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out in the Agricultural Research and Experimentation Center of Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., during 1997 and 1998 seasons to study the effect of dual inoculation of maize (Zea mays c.v Taba) with A. lipoferum in combination with either the phosphate solubilizing bacterium (PSB) B.megatherium var or the phosphaticum vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza (VAM) Glomus mosseae on maize growth, yield and yield components .

Mechanical and chemical analyses of the experimental soil are presented in Table (1).

and the second	the second se	i ser a s				
arse san	nd %	Fine sa	nd %	Silt %	Clay %	Textural class
2.30		18.	45	24.1	55.15	Clay
		200	b- Chemic	al analys	sis	
Organic matter (%)		PH	T, N.	T. P.	E. C.	CaCO <sub>3</sub>
			%	%	mmhos/cm	. %
1.68		8.15	0.30	0.16	0.73	1.36
	Cation	ns (meq./L	)		Anions (meq.)	/L)
Na <sup>+</sup>	Ca <sup>++</sup>	Mg <sup>++</sup>	Cl	HCO	3 CO3	SO4
0.28	3.8	2.1		0.45	2.9 0.33	3 3.15
	arse sar 2,30 nic matr 1.68 Na <sup>+</sup> 0.28	arse sand % 2.30 nic matter (%) 1.68 Cation Na <sup>+</sup> Ca <sup>++</sup> 0.28 3.8	arse sand %       Fine sa         2.30       18.4         nic matter (%)       PH         1.68       8.15         Cations (meq./L         Na <sup>+</sup> Ca <sup>++</sup> 0.28       3.8       2.1	arse sand %         Fine sand %           2.30         18.45           b- Chemic           nic matter (%)         PH           7         %           1.68         8.15           Cations (meq./L)           Na <sup>+</sup> Ca <sup>++</sup> 0.28         3.8           2.1	arse sand %         Fine sand %         Silt % $2.30$ 18.45         24.1           b- Chemical analys           nic matter (%)         PH         T, N.         T. P. $\%$ %         %           1.68         8.15         0.30         0.16           Cations (meq./L)           Na <sup>+</sup> Ca <sup>++</sup> Mg <sup>++</sup> Cl <sup>-</sup> HCO           0.28         3.8         2.1         0.45	arse sand %         Fine sand %         Silt %         Clay %           2.30         18.45         24.1         55.15           b- Chemical analysis           bic matter (%)         PH         T, N.         T. P.         E. C.           %         %         mmhos/cm           1.68         8.15         0.30         0.16         0.73           Cations (meq./L)           Na <sup>+</sup> Ca <sup>++</sup> Mg <sup>++</sup> Cl         HCO <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.28         3.8         2.1         0.45         2.9         0.33

Table 1 .Physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil . a. Mechanical analysis

Mechanical analysis was estimated according to Jackson (1973). Whereas, chemical analysis was estimated in saturated soil paste according to Black *et al* (1982).

Azospirillum lipoferum (MRB 16) and B. megatherium var. phosphaticum (pure local strain) were provided from Microbiology Dept., Soil, Water & Environment Res. Inst., Agric., Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

While, mycorrhizal fungus Glomus mosseae (Soil Goettinge strain) was provided from Tropical Institute, Goettingen University, Fedral R. Germany.

#### Inocula preparation

For preparation of bacterial inocula, Dobereiner medium (1978) and Bunt and Rovira medium(1955) modified by Abdel-Hafez (1966) were inoculated with *A. lipoferum* and *B. megatherium* var. *phosphaticum*, respectively, then incubated at 32° C and 30° C, respectively for 7 days. For preparing VAM inoculum, pots of 30 cm in diameter were filled with autoclaved sandy loam soil. The soil in each pot was inoculated with VAM fungus *Glomus mosseae*. Five onion seedlings were transplanted in each pot as a host plant.

After 8 weeks, spores of VAM were collected from the rhizosphere and roots of onion and extracted by wet sieving and decanting technique (Gerdmann and Nicolson, 1963) VAM spores were counted by the method described by Musandu and Giller (1994).

cultivation, Before the experimental soil plots 14m<sup>2</sup> (4 × 3.5m) were divided into four parts, the first and the second parts were supplied with calcium superphosphate at a rate of 30 kg P2 O5 /fed. to represent the full dose of phosphorus or half this amount, respectively Whereas, the third and the fourth were supplied with rock parts phosphate at the same rates mentioned above.

Except for control treatments, were successfully grains maize washed with water and air-dried. Grains were than soaked in cell suspension of A. lipoferum (1 ml contains 10<sup>8</sup> viable cell) for 30 min. Gum arabic (16%) was added as an adhesive agent prior to inoculation and then divided into two groups. The first group was inoculated with megatherium Bacillus var phosphaticum (1 ml contains 108 viable cell) with the same manner mentioned above, whereas the other left without inoculation for was cultivation of mycorrhizal treatments. mycorrhizal Regarding the treatments, plots which have been prepared for inoculation with VA mycorrhiza, were provided with a mycorrhizal spore suspension and infected root segments of onion. The mycorrhizal extracted spore suspension containing abut 100-120 spores ml-1 was used as standard inoculum (10 ml m<sup>-1</sup>) for mycorrhizal The seeding rate was treatments. 80g/plot. The inoculated grains were air dried for one hour before sowing .

Except for control treatments, all plots were supplemented with a half dose of inorganic nitrogen fertilizer (45 kg N/ feddan) as ammonium sulphate in three equal doses, i.e at cultivation, after 30 and 60 days of cultivation.

A control was carried out where the soil was left without fertilization and grains were treated by using N-deficient medium instead of *Azospirillum* inoculum Another control was also prepared where the grains were sown without inoculation, but the soil was fertilized with the recommended doses of nitrogen and phosphate, i.e 90 kg N and 30 kg  $P_2$  $O_5$  /fed. using ammonium sulphate. and calcium superphosphate, respectively Also, they were added in three equal doses as mentioned above.

#### Experimental design

The treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replicates as follows: 1- Control.

- 2- Fertilized control (90 kg N + 30 kg P<sub>2</sub> O<sub>5</sub> /fed.).
- 3- Superphosphate(30 kg P2 O5 /fed.).
- 4-Rock phosphate(30 kg P2 O5 /fed.).
- 5-Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza

(VAM)+super-p(30 kg P<sub>2</sub> O<sub>5</sub> /fed.). 6- VAM + rock-p (30 kg P<sub>2</sub> O<sub>5</sub> /fed.).

- $7-VAM + super-p(15 \text{ kg } P_2 \text{ O}_5 / \text{fed.}).$
- 8- VAM + rock -p (15 kg  $P_2 O_5$  /fed.).
- 9- Phosphate solubilizing bacteria
- (PSB) + super-p (30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> /fed.). 10- PSB + rock-p (30 kg P<sub>2</sub> O<sub>5</sub> /fed.). 11- PSB + super-p (15 kg P<sub>2</sub> O<sub>5</sub> /fed.). 12- PSB + rock-p (15 kg P<sub>2</sub> O<sub>5</sub> /fed.).

#### Cultivation

Cultivation was performed by sowing four inoculated or uninoculated grains in hills at rows with a distance of 25 cm between hills and 70 cm between rows. After sowing, soil was directly irrigated to provide a suitable moisture for inocula. The normal culture practices for growing maize were followed as recommended in the region.

# Sampling and determinations

Rhizosphere soil samples of the developed plants were taken at vegetative (35 days) and heading (70 days) stages The samples were microbiologically analyzed for N2-ase activity, CO2 evolution, densities of phosphate dissolving inorganic mycorrhizal bacteria and root rhizosphere soil infection. Also, samples were chemically analyzed for ammoniacal and nitrate nitrogen and available phosphorus as follows :

#### A. Microbiological analyses

A. 1. Nitrogenase activity in rhizorphere soil according to Hardy *et al* (1973).

A.2. Carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  evoluted by soil microorganisms using the method described by Page *et al* (1982)

A.3. Densities of phosphate dissolving bacteria by the standard plate method described by Bunt and Rovira medium (1955) modified by Abdel-Hafez (1966).

A.4. The percentage of mycorrhizal root infection of maize plants assessed microscopically by the slide method as described by Mosse and Giovanetti (1980).

#### **B.** Chemical analyses

B.1. Ammoniacal and nitrate nitrogen were determined according to Bremner and keeny (1965).

B.2. Available phosphorus extracted from soil according to Olsen *et al* (1954) and colourimetrically determined according to American public Health Association (APHA, 1989).

# C. Growth parameters

After 70 days from sowing, plant height (cm), stem diameter (mm), number of leaves/plant and leaf area of topmost ear (cm<sup>2</sup>) were estimated.

#### D. Yield and its components

At harvest, ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm), weight of grains/ear (gm), weight of cob ear (gm) and weight of 100- grain (gm) were recorded Also, straw yield, ears yield, biological yield [straw + ears] (ton/fed.) and grain yield (ardab/fed.) were recorded

Total nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and crude protein were estimated in grains of maize. Total nitrogen was determined by using microkjeldahl method (A. O. A. C. 1980) .Total Phosphorus content was colourimetrically determined according to APHA (1989). Total Potassium content was determined according to Dewis and Freitas (1970) .

Crude protein was calculated according to the following equation

Crude protein = % total nitrogen × 6.25 (Å. O. A. C. ,1980).

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out according to Snedecor and Cochran (1989) . The differences between the mean values of various treatments were compared by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan's, 1955).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of maize inoculation with A. lipoferum combined with either VAM or PSB on CO<sub>2</sub> evolution and N<sub>2</sub>- ase activity

is clear from the data It presented in Table (2) that the rates of CO2 evoluted from the activity of soil microorganisms and nitrogenase in rhizosphere activity were remarkably increased in inoculated treatments with A. lipoferum in combination with either VAM or PSB as compared to uninoculated ones. VAM inoculated treatments gave higher values of CO2 evolution and N2- ase activity than PSB inoculated ones .

This result could be attributed the incidence of maize root to infection with VAM . Moreover. growth promoting substances produced by the mycorrhiza fungi and its increasing the availability of most nutrient elements especially phosphorus (Ishac and Mostafa, 1993; Mcgonigle and Miller, 1996 and Saad and Hammad, 1998).

The highest values of evoluted  $CO_2$  and  $N_2$ - ase activity were obtained with VAM combined with *Azospirillum* inoculation and provided with the full dose of rock phosphate. The same trend of results

was observed in both 1997 and 1998 growing seasons as well as at vegetative and heading stage of maize growing.

Data also show that, the rates of CO2 evolution and N2-ase activity higher in the treatments were inoculated with either VAM or PSB and fertilized by rock-p either full or half dose than those fertilized by super-p. These results confirmed those obtained by Heggo and Barakah (1993) and Barakah et al (1998). They reported that the application of rock phosphate rather than superphosphate increased No-ase activity in the rhizosphere. Generally, CO2 evolution rates and N2-ase activity were higher in the 2nd season than in the 1st one and these differences between the two seasons are likely to be due to the differences in the climatic conditions

Effect of maize inoculation with A. lipoferum combined with either VAM or PSB on densities of pdissolving bacteria and mycorrhizal root infection.

Data in Table (3) show clear differences in the root colonization of maize plants grown VAM in and inoculated uninoculated treatments which depended on the indigenous VAM in the soil. Low percentage of mycorrhizal infection in the uninoculated plants indicated that the native VAM fungi are present in the soil but in low density Rock phosphate fertilized plants showed higher percentages of VAM infection than superphosphate fertilized ones. It

Treatments	Growth stage		Vege	tative stage	547	Heading stage				
	Azos. Inoculum + 45 kg N/fed.	CO2 evoluted (µg/g dry soil/hr)		N <sub>2</sub> -ase activity (n moles C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> / hr/g dry soil)		CO2 evoluted (µg /g dry soil/hr)		N <sub>2</sub> -ase activity (n mo C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> / hr/g dry soil)		
Control	of N. fertilizer	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	
Control	-	30.20	41.0	24.50	28.00	57,20	-01.80	30.51	52.00	
ert. Control	-	62.18	66.40	38.30	41.20	81.50	84.00	51.05	54.60	
fSP	+	73.80	75.00	46.12	48.00	112.32	119.30	64.50	70.20	
fRP	+	76.20	82.40	46.50	48.80	116.00	122.40	66.80	72.60	
VAM+fSP	+	119.40	124.10	77.20	85.00	195.30	221.15	96.20	98.60	
VAM+fRP	+	172.40	180.60	95.00	99.20	228.24	247.10	124.10	128.60	
VAM+hSP	+	108.10	112.10	66.00	72.30	176.60	192.50	79.10	82.30	
VAM+hRP	+	116.80	118.82	73.60	80.40	188.10	201.60	96.50	99.33	
PSB+ fSP	+	103.62	108.36	72.30	83.10	187.39	215.21	87.20	93.30	
PSB+ fRP	+	165.50	176.00	88.40	91.60	212.20	231.50	112.00	116.20	
PSB+ hSP	+	98.80	106.20	60.12	66.30	173.18	179.51	76.80	81.50	
PSB+ hRP	··· +	112.30	116.30	68.10	75.60	182.33	198.00	84.20	87.30	

Table 2. Effect of dual inoculation of maize with *A. lipoferum* in combination with either PSB or VAM on CO<sub>2</sub> evolution and N<sub>2</sub>-ase activity under two sources of P. fertilization .

N, nitrogen fertilizer

PSB, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria

VAM, Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza .

is known that soluble phosphate inhibited the root colonization by either introduced or indigenous VAM while, rock phosphate enhanced the mycorrhizal root infection (Saad and Hammad ,1998 and Barakah *et al* ,1998). They reported that application of soluble phosphatic fertilizers greatly reduced the plant benefit from mycorrhizal infection

Data also show that, the highest percentage of mycorrhizal root infection was observed in the treatment inoculated with VAM and provided with full dose of rock-p. Moreover, mycorrhizal root infection was higher at heading stage than vegetative stage and this was observed in both growing seasons. This result is in accordance with Fares (1997) who found that the mycorrhizal root infection and number of spores were increased at late growth stage in wheat plants.

Data presented in Table (3) also indicate that , densities of Pdissolving bacteria in the rhizosphere of maize plants tended to increase progressively in all treatments . At heading stage. densities of Pdissolvers were higher than vegetative stage. Such differences may be due to the changes in multiplication rate of P- dissolving bacteria as a result of qualitative changes in nature of the root exudates of the plant during the different growth stages (Abdel-Ati et al 1996 and Saad and Hammad, 1998).

The rhizosphere soil of plants inoculated with P- solubilizing bacteria contained higher densities of P- dissolving bacteria than the uninoculated ones. Such results may indicate that the introduced inoculum has the ability to survive and colonize the root zone. Similar results were obtained by Saad and Hammad (1998).

Moreover, under either of the two P-fertilization treatment, VAM inoculation led to an increase in densities of P-dissolving bacteria in the rhizosphere of maize compared to the control treatments. This finding indicates that presence of VAM fungi may stimulate multiplication of the Pdissolving bacteria . The highest densities of P-dissolving bacteria were observed in the treatment inoculated P-solubilizing with bacteria and provided with the full dose of superphosphate .

Data also show that the densities of P-dissolving bacteria in the rhizosphere of superphosphate fertilized plants were slightly higher than in that of rock phosphate fertilized ones. These results confirmed those obtained by Abdelet al (1996) and Saad and Ati Hammad (1998).Taking the phosphorus level into account. mycorrhizal root infection and densities of P-dissolving bacteria slightly differed according to phosphorus fertilizer level and this trend was occurred in inoculated treatments with either VAM or PSB

Table 3. Effect of dual inoculation of maize with *A. lipoferum* in combination with either PSB or VAM on the percentage of mycorrhizal root infection and densities of inorganic phosphate dissolving bacteria ( × 10<sup>4</sup>/g dry soil) under two sources of P. fertilization.

	Growth stage		Veget	ative stage		Heading stage				
Treatments	Azos. Inoculum+ 45 kg N/fed. of	Mycorrhizal root infection (%)		Densities of phosphate dissolving bacteria		Mycorri infecti	nizal root on (%)	Densities of phosphate dissolving bacteria		
	N. fertilizer	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	
Control		11.2	12.5	21.6	23.3	14.1	14.9	43.2	47.6	
Fert. Control		15.3	15.6	32.3	36.6	17.0	16.8	50.1	52.6	
fSP	+	19.6	19.3	40.1	46.3	20.8	21.0	60.3	64.4	
fRP	+	22.1	22.8	41.5	48.2	24.2	24,8	63.6	66.8	
VAM+fSP	+	56.6	61.3	75.3	81.3	72.8	76.3	88.4	96.3	
VAM+fRP	+	65.6	67.0	78.4	86.7	74.5	78.6	84.2	89.6	
VAM+hSP	+	57.0	59.1	51.8	55.4	63.5	65.3	72.2	76.8	
VAM+hRP	+	62.2	64.0	54.5	58.6	66.0	68.2	68.8	73.2	
PSB+ fSP	+	30.1	31.6	101.6	106.5	34.2	35.2	139.0	143.0	
PSB+fRP	+	32.0	33.3	99.2	102.8	37.0	38.8	136.0	141.0	
PSB+hSP	+	28.2	29.2	70.2	76.3	32.0	33.6	105.3	112.6	
PSB+hRP	+	30.3	31.0	68.6	72.3	34.0	35.6	102.4	108.6	

Abbreviations : as those stated for Table (2) .

1013

1

Effect of maize inoculation with A. lipoferum combined with either VAM or PSB on nitrogen forms and available phosphorus in soil.

Data presented in Table (4) indicate that ammoniacal and nitrate nitrogen as well as available. phosphorus were remarkably increased in inoculated treatments with *A. lipoferum* in combination with either VAM or PSB as compared to uninoculated treatments.

Ammoniacal and nitrate as available nitrogen as well phosphorus concentrations were higher stage than at heading vegetative stage of maize growth. This may be due to the effect of root exudates which increased during heading or flowering stage in various cultivated plants. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Zaghloul et al (1996) who reported that biofertilization with associative N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria in the presence of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms increased nutrients content in the soil especially at heading stage.

Data also show that the highest values of ammoniacal and nitrate nitrogen were obtained with VAM combined with A. lipoferum inoculation in the presence of the full dose of rock phosphate. This finding was reported in both vegetative and stages in both growing heading On the other hand, the seasons. of available highest values phosphorus were recorded with PSB combined with A. lipoferum

inoculation plus full dose of superphosphate amendment.

Irrespective of control treatments, the lowest values of NH<sub>4</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub>-N and available phosphorus were obtained when superphosphate or rock phosphate were applied without inoculation. These results may reflect the high efficiency of -VAM and P-solubilizing bacteria in enhancing the availability of nutrients in soil. Similar results were obtained by Musandu and Giller (1994) and Barakah *et al* (1998).

From data presented in Table (4) it could be generally concluded that NH<sub>4</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub>-N and available phosphorus concentrations were higher in the  $2^{nd}$  season than in the  $1^{st}$  one. This difference between the two seasons may be due to the change in the meterological factors.

### Effect of maize inoculation with A. lipoferum combined with either VAM or PSB on :-

#### A. Growth parameters of maize

It is obvious from data in Table (5) that growth characteristics i.e plant height, stem diameter, number of leaves/plant and leaf area of topmost ear were significantly increased with dual inoculation of maize with *A. lipoferum* combined with either VAM or PSB treatments compared to uninoculated ones. This finding was observed in both growing seasons.

The records of growth parameters were higher in the VAM

Freatments	Growth stage		Vegetative Stage							Heading stage					
	Azos. Inoculum + 45 kg N/fed	NH4 - N (ppm)		NO <sub>3</sub> – N (ppm)		Available – P (ppm)		NH4- N (ppm)		NO <sub>3</sub> – N (ppm)		Available – P (ppm)			
	of N. fertilizer	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998		
Control		18.50	21.30	15.60	* 19.30	23.10	26.20	26.10	30.00	24.50	28.00	30.30	38.60		
Fert. Control	-	22.30	26.00	20.60	23.40	43.00	47.30	28.20	34.00	33.60	36.00	48.51	54.30		
. fSP	+	35.50	38.00	25.60	28.20	68.60	71.20	40.60	38.00	41.30	45.60	96.32	108.90		
fRP	+	33.30	35.50	36.00	38.60	72.60	75.33	36.30	38.80	43.40	49.30	112.80	115.84		
VAM+fSP	+	47.60	51.00	50.30	54.10	95.60	98.70	64.00	68.40	63.70	67.40	182.20	187.20		
VAM+fRP	+	61.30	67.00	60.00	63.00	86.80	94.20	77.00	80.20	84.80	89.20	185.00	190.40		
VAM+hSP	+	41.24	42.50	40.00	43.00	82.20	86.60	51.00	56.00	56.30	62.00	118.50	123.20		
VAM+hRP	+	42.12	45.00	48.00	51.00	78.60	84.60	60.00	63.00	60.10	63.12	120.80	128.20		
PSB+fSP	+	45.30	48.00	46.30	51.20	112.20	116.30	56.00	60.60	60.30	65.60	195.60	199.60		
PSB+fRP	+	52.00	55.00	56.00	58.30	103.30	108.20	62.00	68.30	68.00	72.00	190.60	193.50		
PSB+hSP	+	38.31	41.50	42.00	45.00	90.60	95.50	45.00	48.00	48.60	51.40	140.40	146.60		
PSB+hRP	+	42.21	46.00	41.00	47.00	93.20	97.40	54.00	58.00	50.30	54.20	150.60	156.30		

Table 4. Effect of dual inoculation of maize with A. lipoferum in combination with either PSB or VAM on nitrogen forms and available phosphorus contents of soil under two sources of P- fertilization.

Abbreviations : as those stated for Table (2)

.

1015

Zagazig J. Agric. Res. Vol. 26 No. (4) 1999

Treatments	Characteristics Azos. Inoculum + 45 kg N/fed. of	Plant height (cm) 1997 1998		Stem d (m 1997	iameter m) 1998	No. of lea	ves/plant 1998	Leaf area of topmost ear (cm <sup>2</sup> ) 1997 1998	
Control		177.3 *	176.7	21.00 <sup>g</sup>	21.67 <sup>r</sup>	10.67 <sup>b</sup>	10.33 <sup>e</sup>	680.8 °	681.7°
Fert. Control	/	186.7 °	190.0 <sup>f</sup>	24.33 <sup>r</sup>	24.33 °	12.00 abc	12.33 ab	701.7 de	723.3 <sup>d</sup>
fSP	+	246.7 bc	240.0 <sup>de</sup>	30.67 <sup>de</sup>	29.00 <sup>de</sup>	12.00 ab	12.33 ab	737.5 <sup>cd</sup>	730.0 <sup>d</sup>
fRP	+	256.7 cd	258.3 cd	28.33 °	28.67 de	12.33 ab	13.00 <sup>ab</sup>	738.0 <sup>cd</sup>	741.7 <sup>cd</sup>
VAM+fSP	+	281.7*	282.3 ª	32.00 abc	33.33 abc	12.67ª	1333°	799.0 <sup>b</sup>	800.0 <sup>b</sup>
VAM+fRP	. +	286.0ª	288.3 ª	34.33 ª	35.67ª	13.33 <sup>a</sup>	13.67ª	970.0ª	920.0 <sup>ª</sup>
VAM+hSP	. +	250.0 cd	274.7 ab	30.67 bed	32.67 bed	12.33 ab	13.33 ª	722.5 cde	726.7 <sup>d</sup>
VAM+hRP	+	273.3 ab	263.3 bc	30.67 bcd	32.00 cd	12.67*	12.67 ab	727.3 <sup>cd</sup>	743.3 <sup>cd</sup>
PSB+ fSP		270.0 ab	275 ab	33.33 <sup>ab</sup>	35.00 <sup>ab</sup>	12.33 ab	12.00 abc	739.0 <sup>cd</sup>	768.3 °
PSB+fRP	+	282.0 ª	281.3 ª	33.33 <sup>ab</sup>	35.00 <sup>ab</sup>	11.67 ab	11.33 bc	765.0 bc	763.3 °
PSB+hSP	+	235.0 <sup>d</sup>	254.3 cd	26.67°	28.67 °	12.00 ab	13.00 ab	721,7 cde	713.3 <sup>d</sup>
PSB+hRP	+	260.0 bc	261.7 bc	30.00 <sup>cd</sup>	30.67 de	12.00 ab	12.67 ab	720.0 <sup>cde</sup>	725.0 <sup>d</sup>

Table 5. Effect of dual inoculation of maize with A. lipoferum in combination with e ither PSB or VAM on growth characteristics under two sources of P-fertilization.

Means followed by the same letter(s) within each column, are not significantly different from each other at 1% level Abbreviations : as those stated for Table (2)

Zaghloul, R.A.

1016

inoculated treatments than PSB inoculated ones. The same trend of results was observed with both the two sources or the two levels of P-fertilization.

Data also emphasize that the growth performance of maize was higher in inoculated treatments with either VAM or PSB which received full dose of P- fertilizer than those received the half dose. These results are in agreement with those reported by Zaghloul et al (1996); Saad and Hammad (1998) who found that when grain crops were inoculated with VAM higher records of growth performance were recorded than those obtained from inoculation with PSB This may be due to the production of growth regulators such as auxins, gibberillins and cytokinins by VAM fungi which affect the production of root biomass and nutrients uptake (Fitter and Garbaye, 1994).

The highest records of growth performance were obtained from VAM combined with *A. lipoferum* inoculation treatment plus full dose of rock phosphate amendment. Similar trends of responses were observed in both growing seasons. Similar results were, obtained by Heggo and Barakah (1993) and Mcgonigle and Miller (1996).

Data in Table (5) also show that, maize inoculation with *Azospirillum lipoferum* alone in the presence of either super-p or rock-p induced lower levels of growth performance than the treatments which were inoculated with both the associative  $N_2$ -fixer and P-solubilizing microorganisms

# **B.** Ear characteristics

Data in Table (6) indicate that ear characteristics i.e ear length, ear diameter, weight of ear grains, weight of ear cob and weight of 100-grain were significantly increased in treatments inoculated with Azospirillum lipoferum combined with either VAM or PSB compared to uninoculated treatments Similar trends of results were observed in the two growing seasons.

Except of ear length, the obtained results show that VAM inoculated treatments gave higher records of ear characteristics than the PSB inoculated ones and this observation was consistent in both growing seasons.

The highest records of ear characteristics were obtained with VAM combined with *A. lipoferum* inoculation and provided with the full dose of rock phosphate.

Irrespective of control treatments, the lowest records of ear characteristics were observed when super-p or rock-p were applied without inoculation. This result is in harmony with that obtained by Heggo and Barakah (1993) and Saad and Hammad (1998).

# C. Yield of straw, ears, biological and grains

It is obvious from data given in Table (7) that the straw, ears and biological yield as well as grain yield of maize plants were significantly

Treatments	Azos. Inoculum + 45 kg N/fed. of	Ear l (c	ength m)	Ear dia (c	meter m)	Weight of (g	ear grains m)	Weight (g	of ear cob m)	weight o grain	of 100 – (gm)
	N. fertilizer	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997 -	1990
Control		17.00 <sup>e</sup>	19.67°	4.43 <sup>d</sup>	4.43 °	146.4 <sup>d</sup>	130.4 °	46.9°	48.43 °	32.33 °	32.50°
Fort Control		19.33 de	20.00 bc	4.33 <sup>d</sup>	4.76 de	166.3 <sup>cd</sup>	163.6 de	53.73 <sup>de</sup>	56.43 de	32.33 °	33.43 de
ACD ACD		20.33 cde	21.67 abc	5.13°	5.13 bcd	196.1 abcd	152.8 de	56.74 de	57.27 de	35.00 <sup>de</sup>	33.50 de
fRP	+	20.5 cde	21.67 abc	5.80 <sup>bc</sup>	.4:90 <sup>cd</sup>	196.9 abcd	174.9 cde	63.83 <sup>cd</sup>	. 67.43 <sup>cd</sup>	36.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	35.37 <sup>cd</sup>
VAM+fSP	. +	24.33 ª	24.00 <sup>a</sup>	5.93 ab	5.50 <sup>b</sup>	230.1 ab	236.2 ab	82.50 <sup>b</sup>	83.33 <sup>b</sup>	38.30 abc	39.40 <sup>a</sup>
VAM+fRP	+	23.00 <sup>ab</sup>	23.00 <sup>ab</sup>	6.53 <sup>a</sup>	6.10 <sup>a</sup>	239.4 <sup>a</sup>	264.8ª	96.93 ª	99.60 <sup>a</sup>	40.67ª	39.67ª
VAMASP	+	23.33 ab	22.00 abc	6.10 ab	5.26 bc	200.0 abcd	205.8 bcd	75.27 bc	77.1 <sup>bc</sup>	37.27 bcd	37.53 ab
VAMILLOP	· · ·	21.33 bcd	22.33 abc	6.50 ab	5.50 <sup>b</sup>	212.0 abc	221.6 acd	71.00 bc	85.03 <sup>b</sup>	39.00 <sup>ab</sup>	38.10 ab
DSB+(SP		24.00 <sup>a</sup>	24.33 ª	5.50 <sup>bc</sup>	5.20 <sup>bcd</sup>	214.7 abc	226.0 abc	73.93 bc	71.87 <sup>bc</sup>	38.00 abed	38.80 <sup>a</sup>
DERLEDD	4	23.33 ab	24.33 <sup>a</sup>	6.10 <sup>ab</sup>	6.03 <sup>a</sup>	211.2 abc	230.0 ab	77.27 bc	77.77 <sup>bc</sup>	39.33 <sup>ab</sup>	39.13 ª
DCD+LCD	÷	22.6 abc	21.67 abc	5.76 <sup>bc</sup>	5.40 <sup>b</sup>	199.0 abcd	205.6 bcd	65.80 <sup>cd</sup>	73.4 bc	36.83 bed	36.17 bc
PSB+hRP	+	21.00 bcd	22.67 abc	5.53 <sup>bc</sup>	5.33 <sup>bc</sup>	209.0 abc	189.9 bed	65.47 <sup>cd</sup>	79.67 <sup>bc</sup>	36.67 bcd	37.53 ab

Table 6. Effect of dual inoculation of maize with A. lipoferum in combination with either PSB or VAM on ear characteristics and weight of 100-grain under two sources of P- fertilization .

1018

Means followed by the same letter(s) within each column, are not significantly different from each other at 1% level. Abbreviations : as those stated for Table (2) .

Zaghloul, R.A.

Treatments	parameters Azos. Str: Inoculum + (to 45 kg N/fed. of		Straw yield Ear yi (ton /fed.) (ton /fed.)		yield /fed.)	Biologic (ton	Biological yield (ton /fed.)		n yield b /fed.)
	N. fertilizer	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998
Control		2.50 °	3.33°	3.10°	2.70 f	5.60 r	6.03 <sup>d</sup>	9.60°	9.84 <sup>h</sup>
Fert. Control	(a) (a)	4.20 <sup>b</sup>	5.13 <sup>d</sup>	4.20 <sup>b</sup>	3.90 <sup>e</sup>	8.40 <sup>e</sup>	9.03 <sup>cd</sup>	16.23 <sup>d</sup>	· 16.70 <sup>g</sup>
fSP	+	4.53 <sup>b</sup>	4.73 <sup>d</sup>	4.23 <sup>b</sup>	4.76 <sup>d</sup>	8.76 de	9.49 cd	17.80 <sup>cd</sup>	16.96 <sup>g</sup>
fRP	+	4.33 <sup>b</sup>	5.03 <sup>d</sup>	5.46 ab	5.30 <sup>d</sup>	9.79 <sup>d</sup>	10.33 °	17.93 <sup>cd</sup>	17:10 <sup>g</sup>
VAM+fSP	+	7.56 ª	7.36 <sup>bc</sup>	6.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.56 <sup>ab</sup>	13.56 <sup>a</sup>	13.92 <sup>b</sup>	21.54 ab	21.05 ab
VAM+fRP	+	7.70 <sup>a</sup>	9.10 *	6.32ª	7.23 ª	14.02*	16.3 <b>9</b> ª	22.96ª	21.35ª
VAM+hSP	+	7.60 ª	6.53 °	6.20 ª	6.46 <sup>ab</sup>	13.80 <sup>a</sup>	12.99 bc	20.89 ab	19.87 de
VAM+hRP	s +	6.76 <sup>ab</sup>	6.93 °	5.96ª	6.80 ab	12.72 bc	13.73 <sup>b</sup>	20.68 ab	20.14 <sup>cd</sup>
PSB+ fSP	+	6.80 <sup>ab</sup>	8.16 <sup>b</sup>	5.93 ª	6.60 ab	12.73 bc	14.76 ab	19.47 bc	20.56 bc
PSB+fRP	. +	7.23 ª	7.23 °	5.16 ab	6.40 ab	12.39 °	13.63 <sup>b</sup>	21.47 ab	21.12 ab
PSB+hSP	+-	6.86 <sup>ab</sup>	7.43 bc	5.46 ab	5.53 cd	12.32 °	12.96 bc	19.91 bc	18.53 <sup>f</sup>
PSB+hRP	+	7.16 *	7.16 <sup>e</sup>	5.86ª	6.13 bc	13.02 ab	13.29 <sup>b</sup>	20.85 ab	18.86 ef
	the second se							1	

Table 7. Effect of dual inoculation of maize with A. lipoferum in combination with either PSB or VAM on straw, ears, biological and grain yield under two sources of P- fertilization.

Means followed by the same letter(s) within each column, are not significantly different from each other at 1% level. Abbreviations : as those stated for Table (2).

Zagazig J. Agric. Res. Vol. 26 No. (4) 1999

1019

increased in treatments inoculated with *A. lipoferum* in combination with either VAM or PSB compared to uninoculated ones.

The highest values of the abovementioned records were obtained with VAM combined with *A. lipoferum* inoculation in the presence of the full dose of rock phosphate. The results of 1998 season emphasized those obtained from 1997.

Taking the p-source into account; straw, ears, biological and grain yields of maize slightly differed according to the source of phosphorus fertilizer and this trend was observed in inoculated treatments with either VAM or PSB. Similar results were obtained by Zaghloul et al (1996) and Barakah et al (1998) who reported no significant that there was difference in plant growth parameters and yield due to superphosphate or rock phosphate fertilization. These results indicate the important role of VAM as well as PSB in mobilizing phosphorus from the unavailable sources such as rock phosphate.

Therefore, the rock phosphate as a cheap source of phosphorus, could substitute superphosphate for maize fertilization in the presence of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. Effect of maize inoculation with A. lipoferum combined with either VAM or PSB on N, P and K as well as crude protein contents of maize grains.

Data in Table (8) show that dual inoculation of maize grains with *A. lipoferum* and *B. megatherium* var. *phosphaticum* gave higher values of N, P, K as well as crude protein contents than those inoculated with only associative  $N_2$ - fixing bacteria. The same trend of results was observed in the two growing seasons.

Data also show that VAM inoculated treatments gave higher percentages of total nitrogen, crude protein and total phosphorus than PSB inoculated ones. The same trend of results was observed when maize plants were fertilized with either super-p or rock-p as well as when phosphorus fertilizer was added either in full or half dose.

Maize plants inoculated with A. *lipoferum* combined with either VAM or PSB and provided with the full dose of super-p or rock-p gave higher values of total potassium in grains than those provided with the half dose of phosphorus fertilizer

These results are in harmony with Heggo and Barakah (1993) Zaghloul *et al* (1996) and Saad and Hammad (1998) who reported that the inoculation with phosphate solubilizing microorganisms increased plant growth as wall as plant content of N, P and K.

Treatments	parameters Azos. Inoculum + 45 kg N/fed. of	parameters Izos. Total nitro noculum + %		gen Crude protein %			ootassium %	Total phosphorus %		
	N. fertilizer	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	
Control	+	0.96	0.98	6.00	6.12	0.308	0.326	0.270	0.278	
Fert. Control	-	1.03	1.12	6.43	7.00	0,355	0.372	0.378	·0.405	
fSP	+	1.21	1.26	7.56	7.87	0.386	0.410	0.432	0.464	
fRP	+	1.24	1.28	7.75	8.00	0.368	0.385	0,486	0.510	
VAM+fSP	+	1.56	1.58	9.75	9.87	0.666	0.674	0.801	0.861	
VAM+fRP	+	1.60	1.62	10.00	10.12	0.684	0.692	0.920	0.965	
VAM+hSP	+	1.40	1.48	8.75	9.25	0.450	0.470	. 0.581	0.618	
VAM+hRP	+	1.44	1.50	9.00	9.37	0.465	0.520	0.603	0.629	
PSB+ fSP	+	1.42	1.46	8.87	9.12	0.586 .	0.614	0.668	0.714	
PSB+fRP	. +	1.51	1.55	9.43	9.68	0.648	0.651	0.860	0.900	
PSB+hSP	+	1.33	1.36	8.31	8.50	0.516	0.543	0.540	0.556	
PSB+hRP	+	1.36	1.40	8.50	8.75	0.455	0.491	0.586	0.600	

 Table 8. Effect of dual inoculation of maize with A. lipoferum in combination with either PSB or VAM on grains content of N, P, K and crude protein under two sources of P- fertilization .

Abbreviations : as those stated for Table (2).

1021

Data also show that percentage of crude protein was Azospirillum increased with inoculation treatments either singly or combination with phosphate in solubilizing microorganisms. This result is in accordance with Hamdi and El-Komy (1998).

#### CONCLUSION

From the obtained results, it can be concluded that maize grains inoculation with A. lipoferum in the presence of half the recommended inorganic N- fertilizer dose of improved the growth and consequently gave higher straw and grain yields than using the full dose of inorganic N- fertilizer without inoculation. Such application can save 50% of the cost of inorganic nitrogen fertilizer and minimize environmental pollution by using less chemical fertilizer.

Also, the growth characteristics and yield of maize plants inoculated with A. lipoferum combined with either VAM or PSB and fertilized with superphosphate were almostly corresponding to of those fertilized with rock phosphate. Therefore, the use of rock phosphate at a rate of 30 kg P2O5/ fed. combined inoculation may be with dual recommended as an alternative for superphosphate application to reduce the production costs of maize and phosphate pollution.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Ati, Y. Y., A. M. M. Hammad and M. Z. Ali (1996). Nitrogen fixing and phosphate solubilizing bacteria as biofertilizers for potato plants under minia conditions. 1<sup>st</sup> Egyptian Hungarian Horticultural Conf. Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt, Vol. (1): 25-34
- Abdel-Hafez, A. M. (1966). Some studies on acid producing microorganisms in soil and rhizosphere with special reference to phosphate dissolvers. Ph. D. Thesis, Agric. Botany Dept. Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Egypt, P. 31.
- A. O. A. C., Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (1980)
   Official Methods of Analysis, 10 th Ed. Washington, D. C., USA. P: 832
- (APHA) American Public Health Association (1989) . Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste Water. Washington, DC, USA.
- Barakah, F. N. , S. H. Salem and A. M. Heggo (1998) Effect of inoculation with endomycorrhizal fungi (VAM) and *Rhizobium meliloti* on nodulation, nutrition and N<sub>2</sub>-fixation of alfalfa grown on calcareous soil. Annals Agric.
  - Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 43 (1): 49-64
- Black, C. A. ; D. O. Evans ; L. E. Ensminger ; J. L. White ; F. E.
  Clark and R. C. Dinauer (1982).
  Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2.
  Chemical and microbiological properties. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Soil Sci., Soc.

of Am. Inc. Pupl., Madison, Wisconsin, U. S. A.

- Bremner , J. M. and D. R. Keeny (1965) Steam distillation method for determination of ammonium, nitrate and nitrit. Annals Chem. Acta 32: 485-495.
- Bunt, J. S. and A. D. Rovira(1955). Microbiological studies of some subantractic soils. J. Soil Sci., 6: 119-128.
- Dewis , G. and F. Freitas (1970). Physical and chemical methods of soil and water analysis . F. A. O., Bull., No. (10).
- Dobereiner, J. (1978). Influence of environmental factors on the occurrence of *S. lipoferum* in soil and roots. Ecol. Bull. (Stockholm) 26: 343-352.
- Duncan's , D. B. (1955) Multiple range and multiple F. test. Biometrics, 11 : 11-24.
- El-Demerdash , M. E. (1994) . Growth and yield of maize plants as affected by bacterization with diazotrophs and supplementation with half the dose of N- fertilizer . Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 32 (2): 889-898.
- Fares, Clair, N. (1997) Effect of indigenous and introduced vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on wheat plants. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 42 (1): 61-71.
- Fitter, A. H. and J. Garbaye (1994). Interaction between mycorrhizal fungi and other soil organisms. Plant and soil, 159: 123-132.
- Fulchieri, M. and L. Frioni (1994). Azospirillum inoculation on maize Effect on yield in a field

experiment in central Argentina Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 26 (7): 921-923

- Gerdmann, J. W. and T. H. Nicolson (1963) Spores of mycorrhizal Endogone species extracted from soil by wet-sieving and decanting. Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc., 46: 235-244.
- Hamdi, M. A. and H. M. El-Komy (1998) Effect of salinity, gibberellic acid and Azospirillum inoculation on growth and nitrogen uptake of Zea mays. Biologia – Plantarum, 40 (1) 109-120.
- Hardy , R. W. F.; B. C. Bumc and R. D. Holsten (1973). Application of the acetylene- ethylene assay for measurement of nitrogen fixation. Soil Biol. Biochem., 5 : 47-81.
- Heggo, A. M. and F. N. Barakah (1993) Proto-cooperation effect of VA mycorrhizal fungi and phosphate dissolving bacteria on phosphatase activity and nutrient uptake by maize plants grown in calcareous soils. Annals of Agric. Sci., Cairo, 38 (1): 71-77.
- Ishac, Y. Z. and M. I. Mostafa (1993) prospects of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal researches in Egypt. Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo 1(2) : 136-176.
- Jackson, M. L. (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice – Hall of India Private New Delhi.
- Mcgonigle, T. P., M. H. Miller (1996) . Mycorrhizae, phosphorus absorption and yield of maize in response to tillage. Soil science,

society of America (USA), 60(6) : 1856-1861

- Medeiros, C. A. B.; R. B. Clark and J. B. Ellis (1993). Effect of MES (Morpholino Ethane Sulfonic Acid) and pH on mineral nutrient uptake by mycorrhizal and non mycorrhizal maize. J. of plant Nutrition, 16 (11): 2255-2272.
- Mosse, B. and M. Giovanetti(1980) An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular arbuscuar mycorrhizal infection in roots. New phytologist, 84 : 489-500.
- Musandu, A. A. O. and K. E. Giller (1994) . Effect of vesicular – arbuscular mycorrhiza on kubzu (*pueraria phaseoloides*) growth in phosphate fixing kenya soils. African crops Sci., J. 2(3):285-290.
- Olsen, S. R.; O. V. Cole; F. S. Watanabe and A. L. Del (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. U. S. Dept., Agric. Circ. 939.
- Page, A. L.; R. H. Miller ; D. R. Keeny (1982) . Methods of soil Analysis, part 2 ; 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Am. Soc. Agronomy , Inc. Mad. Wisconsin, U. S. A.
- Raso, E. (1996) The Azospirillum spp - zea mays L. association : Effects on yield and grain protein

content and on some soil chemical properties. J. of Agronomy, 30(4) : 580-586.

- Saad, O. A. O. and A. M. M. Hammad (1998) . Fertilizing wheat plants with rock phosphate combined with phosphate and VAdissolving bacteria Mycorrhizae as alternative for CAsuperphosphate. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo 43 (2): 445-460.
- Shatokhina, S. F. and S. I. Khristenko (1996) Effect of different fertilizer systems and seed inoculation on the microflora, biochemical and agrochemical characteristics of a typical chernozem, corn yield and quality of silage. Eurasian. Soil Science, 29 (8): 956-962.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1989) . Statistical Methods. 8 th Ed., Iowa state Univ., Press, Iowa, USA.
- Zaghloul, R. A.; M. H. Mostafa and A. A. Amer (1996). Influence of wheat inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi, phosphate solubilizing bacteria and *Azospirillum* on its growth and soil fertility. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Vol. 34 (2): 611-626.

# Zagazig J. Agric. Res. Vol. 26 No. (4) 1999

# فعالية التلقيح المزدوج بالأزوسبيريللام والميكروبات المذيبة للفوسفات على نعو وإنتاجية الذرة الشامية

# راشد عبدالفتاح زغلول

قسم النبات الزراعي – كلية الزراعة بمشتهر – جامعة الزقازيق – مصر

Phosphaticum على نمو وإنتاجية الذرة الشامية ( صنف طابا ) مع استخدام ســماد السـوبر فوسـقات وصخر الفوسفات حيث أضيفت هذه الأسمدة بمستويين هما ١٥ ، ٣٠ كجم فورأه /فدان . ولقد أسفرت نتــتح هذه الدراسة عن الآتي :

عند التلقيح بال A.lipoferum في وجود فطر الميكور هيزا Glomus mosseae مع استخدام المستوى الكامل من الفوسفور (٣٠ كجم فو اله /فدان) في صورة صخر الفوسفات ، أعطى ذلك أعلى مستل من تصاعد ك ألا في التربة وكذلك أعلى معدل من نشاط إنزيم النيتر وجينيز في منطقة الريز وسفير . كست إصابة الجذور بالميكور هيزا أعلى عند التسميد بصخر الفوسفات وذلك مقارنة بالتسميد بالسوبر فوسفات .

أدى التلقيح بال B. megatherium var Phosphaticum مع استخدام المستوى الكامل من السوبر فوسفات إلى زيادة أعداد البكتريا المذيبة للفوسفات فى منطقة الريزوسفير وكذلك مستوى الفوسقور الميسر فى التربة . عند التلقيح بفطر الميكور هيزا لوحظ زيادة فى محتوى التربة من النيتروجين الأمونيوسى والنتراتى بالمقارنة بالبكتريا المذيبة للفوسفات .

لوحظت فروق معنوية في صفات النمو التي درست وكذلك في المحصول ومكوناته حيث إزدانت هذه القياسات في وجود التلقيح بفطر الميكور هيزا والأزوسبيريللام مع إستخدام المستوى الكامل من صخر الفوسفات في حين أعطت المعاملة الغير ملقحة والمسمدة بالجرعة الآزوتية والفوسفاتية الكاملــــة (٩٠ كمــــ ازوت + ٣٠ كجم فو rأه /فدان) نقص معنوى في صفات النمو وكذلك في المحصول ومكوناتـــه بالمقارتــة بالمعاملات الملقحة بالآزوسبيريللام وفطر الميكور هيزا أو البكتريا المذيبة للفوسفات .

أدى النلقيح المزدوج بالبكتريا المثبتة لأزوت الهواء الجوى والميكروبات المذيبة للفوســــفات الـــى زيادة محتوى الحبوب من النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم ونسبة البروتين وذلك بالمقارنة بالتلقيح بالبكتريا المثبتة للأزوت فقط .

من نتائج هذه الدراسة يتضع أن إجراء تلقيع الذرة الشامية بالبكتيريا المثبتة لازوت الهواء الجورى A. lipoferum يقلل من إستخدام الأسمدة النيتروجينية المعدنية وهذا يقلل من تلوث البيئة الناتج من إستخدم الأسمدة الكيماوية . أيضاً عند تلقيح الذرة الشامية بفطريات الميكور هيزا أو البكتيريا المذيبة للفوسفات يحصن التسميد بالصخر الفوسفاتي الأرخص ثمنا كبديل لسماد السوبر فوسفات وأيضاً تجنب التلوث الفوسفاتي.